Clinician Well-Being Assessment and Interventions in Joint Commission–Accredited Hospitals and Federally Qualified Health Centers

Beth A. Longo, DrPH, MSN, RN; Stephen P. Schmaltz, PhD, MPH, MS; Scott C. Williams, PsyD; Tait D. Shanafelt, MD; Christine A. Sinsky, MD;

Factors associated with clinician burnout are well understood, $^{11,12,21-23}$ as are interventions designed to address these causes.^{24–28} Comprehensive or multicomponent programs exist that focus on organization-level approaches to address the drivers of burnout.^{24,29–34} These programs emphasize the need to start with an assessment to understand which primary drivers and/or organizational factors should be targeted. Studies from multiple institutions indicate that organization-level e orts can decrease burnout at the organization level.^{32,35,36} Despite the importance of this issue, we know of no study evaluating how organizations are attempting to address this serious issue. The primary aim of this national study is

Table 1. Organization Characteristics and Survey Response Rates

Organization Characteristics	Sampled n	Response Rate* n (%)	p Value
Federally Quali ed Health Center (FQHC)	256	85 (33.2)	p < 0.001
Hospitals	1,915	396	

a.

Table 2. Number and Type of Implemented Interventions Focused on Addressing Clinician Burnout (Hospital n = 147, FQHC n = 41)*

Intervention Type	Hospital % (n)	FQHC % (n)
Made work ow changes at the unit level	63.7 (93)	73.2 (30)
Instituted exible work arrangements	52.7 (77)	70.7 (29)
Made improvements to the current electronic health record system (for example,		
streamlined		

Table 3. Comparison of Survey Responses by System Af liation, Size, and Location

Volume 000, No. , May 2023

with a CWO were

8

┣┤┞╃

Table 4

Beth A. Longo, DrPH, RN, et al.

Clinician Well-Being Assessment

Statistically Signiccant Differences in Hospital Characteristics and Intervention Type*

ntervention	System Af liation		Hospital S	Hospital Size (Inpatient Beds)		Hospital Location	
	Freestanding %	System %	< 100 %	100–499 %	500+ %	Urban %	Rural %
Made improvements to ELIR	53.5	48.5	50.0	47.2	53.1	48.3	57.1
Dismanued admin burdens	-32.6	41.7	35.9	27.8	59.4 [†]	37.3	46.4
Made work ow changes	6 5.1	63.1	66.7	63.9	56.3	63.6	64.3
Conducted Of projects	44.2	51.5	44.9	44.4	65.6	51.7	39.3
Instituteu exible work	52.8	48.5	47.4	52.8	65.6	51.7	57.1
Resoluctured bene ts	20.9	19.4	16.7	22.2	25.0	22.0	10.7
Program	- 27.9	44.7	24.4	36.1	81.3 [‡]	42.4	28.6
Provided mental health support	32.6	55.3 [†]	34.6	50.0	81.3 [‡]	51.7	35.7
Provideo FHR training	37.2	36.9	37.2	30.6	43.8	36.4	39.3
initiatives	9.3	23.3	14.1	13.9	37.5*	19.5	17.9

nealth record; Oi. quality improvement.

Although approximately half of responding organizations reported having implemented some kind of intervention to target clinician burnout,ry few organizations reported in prementing comprehensive systems to address the problem. Once again, such approaches were markedly more likely to be reported among organizations with a CWO. Al-

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material associated

10 Beth A. Longo, DrPH, MSN, RN, et al.

- **33.** Shanafelt T, et al. Building a program on well-being: key design considerations to meet the unique needs of each organization. Acad Med. 2019;94:156–161.
- 34. Shanafelt TD, et al.